

(12) **United States Patent**
Colton et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 9,148,467 B1**
(45) **Date of Patent:** ***Sep. 29, 2015**

(54) **SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR EMULATING
DIFFERENT USER AGENTS ON A SERVER**

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

(71) Applicant: **Appcelerator, Inc.**, Mountain View, CA (US)

4,989,132 A	1/1991	Mellender et al.
5,361,351 A	11/1994	Lenkov et al.
5,448,740 A	9/1995	Kiri et al.
5,812,851 A	9/1998	Levy et al.
5,821,851 A	10/1998	Blackmer
5,878,223 A	3/1999	Becker et al.
6,067,413 A	5/2000	Gustafsson et al.
6,144,962 A	11/2000	Weinberg et al.
6,185,587 B1	2/2001	Bernardo et al.
6,192,382 B1	2/2001	Lafer et al.
6,240,414 B1	5/2001	Beizer et al.
6,324,686 B1	11/2001	Komatsu et al.
6,356,283 B1	3/2002	Guedalia
6,381,737 B1	4/2002	Click, Jr. et al.
6,453,335 B1	9/2002	Kaufmann
6,539,433 B1	3/2003	Tominaga et al.
6,609,246 B1	8/2003	Guhr et al.
6,684,369 B1	1/2004	Bernardo et al.
6,779,114 B1	8/2004	Chow et al.
6,915,454 B1	7/2005	Moore et al.
6,941,562 B2	9/2005	Gao et al.

(72) Inventors: **Paul Colton**, Hillsborough, CA (US);
Uri Sarid, Menlo Park, CA (US); **Kevin
Edward Lindsey**, Benbrook, TX (US)

(73) Assignee: **Appcelerator, Inc.**, Mountain View, CA (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 494 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **13/646,455**

(22) Filed: **Oct. 5, 2012**

(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Related U.S. Application Data

Final Office Action mailed Jan. 4, 2011 from U.S. Appl. No. 11/735,428.

(Continued)

(63) Continuation of application No. 12/327,802, filed on Dec. 3, 2008, now Pat. No. 8,285,813.

(60) Provisional application No. 60/992,703, filed on Dec. 5, 2007.

Primary Examiner — Brian P Whipple

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Staniford Tomita LLP

(51) **Int. Cl.**

G06F 15/16 (2006.01)

H04L 29/08 (2006.01)

G06F 9/54 (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**

CPC . **H04L 67/02** (2013.01); **G06F 9/54** (2013.01)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**

None


See application file for complete search history.

(57)

ABSTRACT

A system and method for emulating a multitude of different user agents on a server-side is disclosed herein. On a server-side, an acceptable user agent string for receiving full content from the web-site with a browser sniffing agent is identified. The acceptable user agent is emulated by transmitting a HTTP request with the acceptable user agent string, allowing for access to the full content of the web-page of the web-site.

2 Claims, 11 Drawing Sheets



(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

6,981,215	B1	12/2005	Lindhorst et al.	2003/0226110	A1	12/2003	Scheering
6,990,653	B1	1/2006	Burd et al.	2004/0003377	A1	1/2004	Di Loreto
7,000,008	B2	2/2006	Bautista-Lloyd et al.	2004/0010621	A1	1/2004	Afergan et al.
7,047,318	B1	5/2006	Svedloff	2004/0021679	A1	2/2004	Chapman et al.
7,051,084	B1	5/2006	Hayton et al.	2004/0061713	A1	4/2004	Jennings
7,058,633	B1	6/2006	Gnagy et al.	2004/0064822	A1	4/2004	Noda
7,062,506	B2	6/2006	Taylor et al.	2004/0066410	A1	4/2004	Lindhorst et al.
7,086,041	B2	8/2006	Plesko et al.	2004/0133848	A1	7/2004	Hunt et al.
7,103,600	B2	9/2006	Mullins	2004/0143823	A1	7/2004	Wei
7,103,881	B2	9/2006	Stone	2004/0158843	A1	8/2004	Cloccarelli
7,117,504	B2	10/2006	Smith et al.	2004/0167784	A1	8/2004	Travieso et al.
7,124,445	B2	10/2006	Cronce et al.	2004/0167876	A1	8/2004	Salerno et al.
7,139,798	B2	11/2006	Zircher et al.	2004/0168162	A1	8/2004	Park et al.
7,143,136	B1	11/2006	Drenan et al.	2004/0177147	A1	9/2004	Joshi et al.
7,167,862	B2	1/2007	Mullins	2004/0177335	A1	9/2004	Beisiegel et al.
7,213,231	B1	5/2007	Bandhole et al.	2004/0201618	A1	10/2004	Alderson
7,222,336	B2	5/2007	Willis	2004/0205411	A1	10/2004	Hong et al.
7,231,644	B2	6/2007	Kieffer	2004/0210865	A1	10/2004	Shimura
7,269,636	B2	9/2007	McCollum et al.	2004/0225633	A1	11/2004	Jau
7,284,054	B2	10/2007	Radhakrishnan	2004/0236927	A1	11/2004	Irie et al.
7,308,648	B1	12/2007	Buchthal et al.	2004/0250262	A1	12/2004	Irassar et al.
7,313,789	B1	12/2007	Yellin et al.	2004/0268303	A1	12/2004	Abe et al.
7,333,801	B2	2/2008	Chandhok	2005/0005160	A1	1/2005	Bates et al.
7,386,786	B2	6/2008	Davis et al.	2005/0015759	A1	1/2005	Zatloukal
7,389,330	B2	6/2008	Dillon et al.	2005/0027823	A1	2/2005	Rana
7,426,723	B1	9/2008	Nikolov	2005/0043940	A1	2/2005	Elder
7,454,526	B2	11/2008	Brown et al.	2005/0044197	A1	2/2005	Lai
7,478,401	B2	1/2009	Irassar et al.	2005/0066319	A1	3/2005	Deline et al.
7,478,408	B2	1/2009	Sesma	2005/0069207	A1	3/2005	Zakrzewski et al.
7,487,201	B1	2/2009	Murray et al.	2005/0086344	A1	4/2005	Suesserman
7,496,841	B2	2/2009	Hadfield et al.	2005/0091576	A1	4/2005	Relyea et al.
7,506,315	B1	3/2009	Kabadiyski et al.	2005/0091650	A1	4/2005	Heeb
7,509,654	B2	3/2009	Jennings et al.	2005/0102400	A1	5/2005	Nakahara et al.
7,543,267	B2	6/2009	Lindhorst et al.	2005/0102611	A1 *	5/2005	Chen 715/513
7,543,271	B2	6/2009	Gadre	2005/0144622	A1	6/2005	Ballinger et al.
7,555,484	B2	6/2009	Kulkarni et al.	2005/0160415	A1	7/2005	Kwon et al.
7,596,620	B1	9/2009	Colton et al.	2005/0172338	A1	8/2005	Sandu et al.
7,614,052	B2	11/2009	Wei	2005/0182778	A1	8/2005	Heuer et al.
7,653,623	B2	1/2010	Kashima et al.	2005/0188051	A1	8/2005	Sneh
7,685,609	B1	3/2010	McLellan	2005/0198202	A1	9/2005	Yamamoto
7,707,547	B2	4/2010	Colton et al.	2005/0246391	A1	11/2005	Gross
7,725,530	B2	5/2010	Sah et al.	2005/0256933	A1	11/2005	Millington et al.
7,752,222	B1 *	7/2010	Cierniak 707/769	2005/0278641	A1	12/2005	Mansour et al.
7,823,009	B1	10/2010	Tormasov et al.	2006/0015842	A1	1/2006	DeSantis
7,844,958	B2	11/2010	Colton et al.	2006/0047780	A1	3/2006	Patnude
7,908,551	B2 *	3/2011	Yao et al. 715/236	2006/0064434	A1	3/2006	Gilbert et al.
7,913,163	B1 *	3/2011	Zunger 715/243	2006/0075088	A1	4/2006	Guo et al.
7,921,353	B1	4/2011	Murray	2006/0080592	A1	4/2006	Alves de Moura et al.
7,958,232	B1	6/2011	Colton et al.	2006/0123397	A1	6/2006	McGuire
7,958,493	B2	6/2011	Lindsey et al.	2006/0129997	A1	6/2006	Stichnoth et al.
8,260,845	B1 *	9/2012	Colton et al. 709/203	2006/0136555	A1	6/2006	Patrick et al.
8,468,445	B2 *	6/2013	Gupta et al. 715/234	2006/0136712	A1	6/2006	Nagendra et al.
8,527,860	B1 *	9/2013	Colton et al. 715/205	2006/0149746	A1	7/2006	Bansod et al.
8,819,539	B1 *	8/2014	Colton et al. 715/208	2006/0150111	A1	7/2006	Farber
2001/0025373	A1	9/2001	Gebhart et al.	2006/0155707	A1	7/2006	Marcyan
2001/0032320	A1	10/2001	Abdelnur et al.	2006/0167981	A1	7/2006	Bansod et al.
2001/0037292	A1	11/2001	Vogt	2006/0173998	A1	8/2006	Ohara
2001/0037359	A1	11/2001	Mockett et al.	2006/0190997	A1	8/2006	Mahajani et al.
2002/0007393	A1	1/2002	Hamel	2006/0200503	A1	9/2006	Dosa et al.
2002/0016828	A1	2/2002	Daugherty et al.	2006/0230149	A1	10/2006	Jackson
2002/0023158	A1	2/2002	Polizzi et al.	2006/0253508	A1	11/2006	Colton et al.
2002/0069255	A1	6/2002	Dinovo	2006/0259592	A1	11/2006	Angeline
2002/0073235	A1	6/2002	Chen et al.	2006/0277250	A1	12/2006	Cherry et al.
2002/0099738	A1	7/2002	Grant	2007/0011650	A1	1/2007	Hage et al.
2002/0112247	A1	8/2002	Horner et al.	2007/0055964	A1	3/2007	Mirkazemi et al.
2002/0138555	A1	9/2002	Yu	2007/0061700	A1	3/2007	Kothari et al.
2002/0184363	A1	12/2002	Viavant et al.	2007/0067418	A1	3/2007	Isaacs et al.
2003/0005044	A1	1/2003	Miller et al.	2007/0073739	A1	3/2007	Jennings et al.
2003/0061404	A1	3/2003	Atwal et al.	2007/0100967	A1	5/2007	Smith et al.
2003/0084431	A1	5/2003	Kobayashi	2007/0106946	A1	5/2007	Goetz et al.
2003/0088687	A1	5/2003	Begeja et al.	2007/0107057	A1	5/2007	Chander et al.
2003/0105810	A1	6/2003	McCrorry et al.	2007/0113188	A1	5/2007	Bales et al.
2003/0145282	A1	7/2003	Thomas et al.	2007/0124500	A1	5/2007	Bedingfield, Sr. et al.
2003/0177176	A1	9/2003	Hirschfeld et al.	2007/0136201	A1	6/2007	Sah et al.
2003/0195923	A1	10/2003	Bloch et al.	2007/0136477	A1	6/2007	Bryce et al.
				2007/0143283	A1	6/2007	Spencer et al.
				2007/0143672	A1	6/2007	Lipton et al.
				2007/0150480	A1	6/2007	Hwang et al.
				2007/0174419	A1	7/2007	O'Connell et al.

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2007/0214239	A1	9/2007	Mechkov et al.	
2007/0214261	A1	9/2007	Kikuchi et al.	
2007/0231781	A1	10/2007	Zimmermann et al.	
2007/0240032	A1	10/2007	Wilson	
2007/0250513	A1	10/2007	Hall et al.	
2007/0288858	A1	12/2007	Pereira et al.	
2008/0010338	A1	1/2008	Curtis et al.	
2008/0065737	A1*	3/2008	Burke et al.	709/217
2008/0077556	A1	3/2008	Muriente	
2008/0082965	A1	4/2008	Atkin et al.	
2008/0098300	A1*	4/2008	Corrales et al.	715/243
2008/0104025	A1	5/2008	Dharamshi et al.	
2008/0104224	A1	5/2008	Litofsky et al.	
2008/0140786	A1	6/2008	Tran	
2008/0178073	A1*	7/2008	Gao et al.	715/243
2008/0243475	A1	10/2008	Everhart et al.	
2008/0244586	A1	10/2008	Hopp	
2008/0288739	A1	11/2008	Bamba et al.	
2008/0294794	A1	11/2008	Darugar et al.	
2008/0295004	A1	11/2008	Coca et al.	
2008/0295164	A1	11/2008	Steiner et al.	
2008/0301696	A1	12/2008	Tantawi et al.	
2008/0307389	A1	12/2008	Marchant	
2009/0013255	A1	1/2009	Yuschik et al.	
2009/0030926	A1	1/2009	Aharoni et al.	
2009/0106052	A1	4/2009	Moldovan	
2009/0106413	A1	4/2009	Salo et al.	
2009/0119675	A1	5/2009	Higgins et al.	
2009/0172792	A1	7/2009	Backhouse	
2009/0210631	A1	8/2009	Bosworth et al.	
2009/0216910	A1	8/2009	Duchesneau	
2009/0282136	A1	11/2009	Subramanian	
2009/0287734	A1	11/2009	Borders	
2009/0300210	A1	12/2009	Ferris	
2010/0035690	A1	2/2010	Blackburn et al.	
2010/0036903	A1	2/2010	Ahmad et al.	
2010/0042670	A1	2/2010	Kamalakantha et al.	
2010/0064234	A1	3/2010	Schreiber et al.	
2010/0070566	A1	3/2010	Vandewalle	
2010/0174607	A1	7/2010	Henkin et al.	
2010/0223385	A1	9/2010	Gulley et al.	
2012/0173966	A1*	7/2012	Powell et al.	715/234
2012/0290919	A1*	11/2012	Melnyk et al.	715/234
2013/0151949	A1*	6/2013	Miller	715/234

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Non-Final Office Action mailed Sep. 11, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/270,817.
 Final Office Action mailed Aug. 29, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/270,868.
 Final Office Action mailed Oct. 19, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/273,539.
 Final Office Action mailed Jan. 24, 2011 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/275,182.
 Non-Final Office Action mailed Aug. 24, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/275,213.
 Final Office Action mailed Apr. 4, 2011 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/276,327.
 Non-Final Office Action mailed Sep. 13, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/276,336.
 Final Office Action mailed Jul. 3, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/326,833.
 Final Office Action mailed Sep. 25, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/325,239.
 Non-Final Office Action mailed Oct. 24, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/325,240.
 Final Office Action mailed Aug. 24, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/325,268.
 Non-Final Office Action mailed Jul. 3, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/326,103.
 Non-Final Office Action mailed Oct. 22, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/326,110.

Final Office Action mailed Jul. 5, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/326,861.
 Final Office Action mailed Mar. 27, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/326,891.
 Final Office Action mailed Jun. 19, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/326,910.
 Non-Final Office Action mailed Sep. 26, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/327,330.
 Non-Final Office Action mailed Aug. 20, 2010 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/327,802.
 Final Office Action mailed Jan. 28, 2011 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/334,434.
 Final Office Action mailed Aug. 17, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/563,159.
 Non-Final Office Action mailed Oct. 11, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/955,881.
 Non-Final Office Action mailed Sep. 20, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/175,570.
 Final Office Action mailed Jul. 9, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/326,111.
 Non-Final Office Action mailed Sep. 15, 2011 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/326,035.
 Final Office Action mailed May 29, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/326,035.
 Non-Final Office Action mailed Aug. 12, 2010 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/325,087.
 Final Office Action mailed Mar. 16, 2011 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/326,087.
 Non-Final Office Action mailed Feb. 28, 2011 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/325,249.
 Final Office Action mailed May 22, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/325,249.
 Final Office Action mailed Oct. 4, 2011 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/325,249.
 Non-Final Office Action mailed Feb. 3, 2011 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/477,842.
 Non-Final Office Action mailed Oct. 20, 2011 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/477,842.
 Non-Final Office Action mailed Oct. 20, 2011 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/478,740.
 Final Office Action mailed Aug. 9, 2011 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/478,740.
 Non-Final Office Action mailed Oct. 20, 2011 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/478,743.
 Final Office Action mailed Aug. 9, 2011 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/478,743.
 Non-Final Office Action mailed Jul. 20, 2010 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/276,337.
 Final Office Action mailed Feb. 22, 2011 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/276,337.
 Non-Final Office Action mailed Aug. 28, 2012 from U.S. Appl. No. 12/478,746.
 Crockford, D., "JSMIN, The JavaScript Minifier," Dec. 4, 2003, pp. 1-2.
 Vinoski, S., "Scripting JAX-WS," May-Jun. 2006, IEEE Internet Computing, pp. 91-94.
 Mitchell, S., "URL Rewriting in ASP.NET," Microsoft.com, Mar. 2004, pp. 1-4.
 "Making JavaScript Smaller: Dojo's Compressor," Internet Wayback Machine, Nov. 11, 2006.
 Leenheer, N., "Make your pages load faster by combining and compressing JavaScript and CSS files," Rakaz, Dec. 18, 2006, pp. 1-4.
 Guderman, et al., "Representing Type Information Dynamically Typed Languages," Department of Computer Science, The University of Arizona, pp. 1-40.
 Shao, Z., et al., "A type-based compiler for standard ML," ACM, 1995, pp. 116-129.
 "Server Side JavaScript Guide," Netscape Communications Corp., Nov. 12, 1998, pp. 1-4.
 Aronsson, L., "Operation of a Large Scale, General Purpose Wiki Website," elpub 2002 Proceedings, 2002, VWF Berlin, pp. 27-37.
 "Morfik announces Ajax IDE," ajaxian.com, Sep. 28, 2005, pp. 1-3.

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Hupfer, S. et al., "Introducing Collaboration into an Application Development Environment," ACM, vol. 6, Issue 3, pp. 21-24.

Anonymous, "Creating Accessible JavaScript—Javascript Event Handlers," WebAIM, 1999-2011.

Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority for PCT Application No. PCT/US07/01697, mailed Feb. 5, 2008.

Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority for PCT Application No. PCT/US07/66673, mailed Jul. 7, 2008.

* cited by examiner




FIG. 1
(Prior Art)




FIG. 2
(Prior Art)




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 4A




FIG. 5

Tasks To Do

Any Changes should be automatically saved to your database

New

☐

☐

☐

☐

99

FIG. 6






FIG. 7

**FIG. 7A**

**FIG. 8**




FIG. 9
(Prior Art)




FIG. 10

1

SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR EMULATING DIFFERENT USER AGENTS ON A SERVER

CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATION

This application is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 12/327,802, filed Dec. 3, 2008, now U.S. Pat. No. 8,285,813, which claims priority to U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 60/992,703, filed on Dec. 5, 2007, which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety.

STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT

Not Applicable

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1. Field of the Invention

The present invention is related to development of Web-sites and Web applications.

More specifically, the present invention relates to user agents on a Web-server.

2. Description of the Related Art

Prior to Rich Internet Applications, traditional Web applications involved a client-server architecture with all of the processing on the server side and the client-side used to display the HTML web-pages served by the server. Each time a user desired to view a new Web-page, a HTTP request was sent to the server and the requested Web-page was served to the Web browser on the client-side. Such a traditional system is shown in FIG. 1 with a Web-server **1000** on a server side receiving requests over the Internet **1005** from a Web-browser **1003** on a client-side.

Rich Internet Applications, such as Ajax, greatly improved on the traditional client-server architecture by allowing the client machine to dynamically render and partially refresh web pages based on an initial set of instructions from the server, user input, and small amounts of subsequent data dynamically requested from the server. As shown in FIG. 2, the client machine processes Ajax instructions to render a Web page for the user.

Early Web applications allowed a user's browser to send a request to a server. The server processed the request and responded to the browser with a Web page. When the user wanted to view a new page, another request was sent to the server and the server responded to the browser with a new Web page. Such a process resulted in a waste of bandwidth since much of the Web contents in the first Web page were also contained in the second web page. The need to resend the same information led to a much slower user interface of a Web application than that of a native application.

An emerging technology, called Ajax (Asynchronous and JavaScript XML), was developed for refreshing part of a page instead of refreshing the whole page on every interaction between the user and application. In an Ajax application, when a user submits a form in a page, a script program, usually a JavaScript program, resident on the Web browser receives the user's request and sends a XML (Extended Markup Language) HTTP (Hyper Text Transfer Protocol) request to the Web server in background so as to retrieve only the needed Web contents instead of the whole page and perform corresponding processing to partly refresh the page when receiving a response from the Web server. In this way, the application response time is shortened, because the amount of data exchanged between the Web browser and the

2

Web server is greatly reduced. And the processing time of the Web server is saved because much of the processing is performed at the client side.

General definitions for terms utilized in the pertinent art are set forth below.

Ajax is the use of dynamic HTML, JavaScript and CSS to create dynamic and usually interactive Web sites and applications. A more detailed explanation of Ajax is set forth in Edmond Woychowsky, *AJAX, Creating Web Pages with Asynchronous JavaScript and XML*, Prentice Hall, 2007, which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety.

Applets or Java Applets are mini-executable programs named with the .class suffix and are placed on a Web page and provide interactive and multimedia uses.

Application Programming Interface (API) is a collection of computer software code, usually a set of class definitions, that can perform a set of related complex tasks, but has a limited set of controls that may be manipulated by other software-code entities. The set of controls is deliberately limited for the sake of clarity and ease of use, so that programmers do not have to work with the detail contained within the given API itself.

An Attribute provides additional information about an element, object or file. In a Document Object Model, an attribute, or attribute node, is contained within an element node.

Behavioral layer is the top layer and is the scripting and programming that adds interactivity and dynamic effects to a site.

Binding in a general sense is the linking of a library to an application program usually to prevent repetition of frequently utilized code.

Cascading Style Sheets (CSS) is a W3C standard for defining the presentation of Web documents.

Compiler is a computer program that translates a series of instructions written in one computer language into a resulting output in a different computer language.

Document Object Model (DOM) Element is an object contained in a Document Object Model (DOM). The term DOM is generally used to refer to the particular DOM held in the memory region being used by the Web browser. Such a DOM controls the Graphical Respondent Interface (GRI) or Graphical User Interface (GUI). The DOM is generated according to the information that the Web browser reads from the HTML file, and/or from direct JavaScript software instructions. Generally, there exists a unique DOM element for every unique HTML element. DOM elements are sometimes referred to as HTML/DOM elements, because the DOM element exists only because HTML code that was read by the Web browser listed some HTML element that had not previously existed, and thereby caused the Web browser to create that DOM element. Often specific elements of the greater set of HTML/DOM elements are identified by specifying an HTML/DOM checkbox element, or an HTML/DOM text input element. A more detailed explanation of the document object model is set forth in Jeremy Keith, *DOM Scripting, Web Design with JavaScript and the Document Object Model*, friendsof, 2005, which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety.

Function is a process encoded in software which performs some activity and returns a value as a result.

Getter method is a method that "gets" the value of a variable.

HyperText Markup Language (HTML) is a method of mixing text and other content with layout and appearance commands in a text file, so that a browser can generate a displayed image from the file.

Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP) is a set of conventions for controlling the transfer of information via the Internet from a Web server computer to a client computer, and also from a client computer to a Web server.

Internet is the worldwide, decentralized totality of server computers and data-transmission paths which can supply information to a connected and browser-equipped client computer, and can receive and forward information entered from the client computer.

JavaScript is an object-based programming language. JavaScript is an interpreted language, not a compiled language. JavaScript is generally designed for writing software routines that operate within a client computer on the Internet. Generally, the software routines are downloaded to the client computer at the beginning of the interactive session, if they are not already cached on the client computer. JavaScript is discussed in greater detail below.

JSON is JavaScript Object Notation format, which is a way of taking data and turning it into valid JavaScript syntax for reconstituting an object at the other end of the transmission protocol.

MySQL is a relational database management system which relies on SQL for processing data in a database.

Parser is a component of a compiler that analyzes a sequence of tokens to determine its grammatical structure with respect to a given formal grammar. Parsing transforms input text into a data structure, usually a tree, which is suitable for later processing and which captures the implied hierarchy of the input. XML Parsers ensure that an XML document follows the rules of XML markup syntax correctly.

PHP is a scripting language that allows developers create dynamically generated Web pages, and is used for server-side programming.

Platform is the combination of a computer's architecture, operating system, programming language (PHP, JAVA, RUBY ON RAILS), runtime libraries and GUIs.

Presentation layer follows the structural layer, and provides instructions on how the document should look on the screen, sound when read aloud or be formatted when it is printed.

Rendering engine is software used with a Web browser that takes Web content (HTML, XML, image files) and formatting information (CSS, XSL) and displays the formatted content on a screen.

Serialization places an object in a binary form for transmission across a network such as the Internet and deserialization involves extracting a data structure from a series of bytes.

SQL (Structured Query Language) is a computer language designed for data retrieval and data management in a database.

Structural layer of a Web page is the marked up document and foundation on which other layers may be applied.

User is a client computer, generally operated by a human being, but in some system contexts running an automated process not under full-time human control.

Web-Browser is a complex software program, resident in a client computer, that is capable of loading and displaying text and images and exhibiting behaviors as encoded in HTML (HyperText Markup Language) from the Internet, and also from the client computer's memory. Major browsers include MICROSOFT INTERNET EXPLORER, NETSCAPE, APPLE SAFARI, MOZILLA FIREFOX, and OPERA.

Web-Scraping is generally defined as searching and extracting content from Web-sites over HTTP to obtain results that are used for another purpose.

Web-Server is a computer able to simultaneously manage many Internet information-exchange processes at the same time. Normally, server computers are more powerful than client computers, and are administratively and/or geographically centralized. An interactive-form information-collection process generally is controlled from a server computer, to which the sponsor of the process has access. Servers usually contain one or more processors (CPUs), memories, storage devices and network interface cards. Servers typically store the HTML documents and/or execute code that generates Web-pages that are sent to clients upon request. An interactive-form information-collection process generally is controlled from a server computer, to which the sponsor of the process has access.

World Wide Web Consortium (W3C) is an unofficial standards body which creates and oversees the development of web technologies and the application of those technologies.

XHTML (Extensible Hypertext Markup Language) is a language for describing the content of hypertext documents intended to be viewed or read in a browser.

XML (Extensible Markup Language) is a W3C standard for text document markup, and it is not a language but a set of rules for creating other markup languages.

There are three types of JavaScript: 1) Client-side JavaScript; 2) Server-side JavaScript; and 3) Core JavaScript. Client-side JavaScript is generally an extended version of JavaScript that enables the enhancement and manipulation of web pages and client browsers. Server-side JavaScript is an extended version of JavaScript that enables back-end access to databases, file systems, and servers. Core JavaScript is the base JavaScript.

Core JavaScript includes the following objects: array, date, math, number and string. Client-side JavaScript and Server-side JavaScript have additional objects and functions that are specific to client-side or server-side functionality. Generally, any JavaScript libraries (.js files) created in core JavaScript can be used on both the client and the server without changes. Client-side JavaScript is composed of a Core JavaScript and additional objects such as: document, form, frame and window. The objects in Client-side JavaScript enable manipulation of HTML documents (checking form fields, submitting forms, creating dynamic pages) and the browser (directing the browser to load other HTML pages, display messages). Server-side JavaScript is composed of Core JavaScript and additional objects and functions for accessing databases and file systems, and sending email. Server-side JavaScript enables Web developers to efficiently create database-driven web applications. Server-side JavaScript is generally used to create and customize server-based applications by scripting the interaction between objects. Client-side JavaScript may be served by any server but only displayed by JavaScript-enabled browsers. Server-side JavaScript must be served by a JavaScript-enabled server but can be displayed by any browser.

Dinovo United States Patent Publication Number 20020069255 for a Dynamic Content Delivery To Static Page In Non-Application Capable Environment discloses a system for incorporating dynamic content into a static page from a non-application capable server.

Mocket et al., United States Patent Publication Number 20010037359 for a System And Method For A Server-side Browser Including Markup Language Graphical User Interface, Dynamic Markup Language Rewriter Engine And Profile Engine describes a system and method for a server-side browser including markup language graphical user interface, dynamic markup language rewriter engine and profile engine. The system includes a user computer and a destination server

computer separated by a server computer hosting a server-side browser (SSB). The SSB includes a markup language graphical user interface (MLGUI), a dynamic markup language rewriter engine (DMLRE) and a profiling engine (PE). The SSB may be configured as an intermediary infrastructure residing on the Internet providing customized information gathering for a user. The components of the SSB allow for controlling, brokering and distributing information more perfectly by controlling both browser functionality (on the client-side) and server functionality (on the destination site side) within a single point and without the necessity of incremental consents or integration of either side.

Lafer et al., U.S. Pat. No. 6,192,382, for Method And System For Web Site Construction Using HTML Fragment Caching discloses storing HTML fragments in a tag cache.

Buchthal et al., U.S. Pat. No. 7,308,648 for a Method, System, And Computer-Readable Medium For Filtering Harmful HTML In An Electronic Document, discloses parsing an HTML document into HTML elements and attributes and comparing these to a content library using a filter of an API to remove unknown HTML fragments.

Daugherty et al., United States Patent Publication Number 20020016828 for a Web Page Rendering Architecture discloses a system and method for caching function calls.

Lipton et al., United States Patent Publication Number 20070143672 for Partial Rendering Of Web Pages discloses updating a Web page without having to download the entire Web page, with some rendering instructions represented as HTML fragments.

Irassar et al., United States Patent Publication Number 20040250262, for Business To Business Event Communications discloses an event handling mechanism that allows communication of event information among providers and subscribers across a network using an event handling server.

Jennings et al., United States Patent Publication Number 20070073739 for a Data-Driven And Plug-In Defined Event Engine, discloses an event engine that enables application developers to define finite state machines for implementation via a data-driven approach using executable plug-ins.

Lindhorst et al., U.S. Pat. No. 6,981,215 for a System For Converting Event-Driven Code Into Serially Executed Code, discloses an event-driven server model that uses active server pages that appear to other files as objects with associated method and properties for developing Web pages.

Wilson, United States Patent Publication Number 20070240032, for a Method And System For Vertical Acquisition Of Data From HTML Tables discloses passing a HTML document's content from a table to a DOM interpreter and parsing selected data to a formatted data structure on a browser.

Monsour et al., United States Patent Publication Number 20050278641 for a JavaScript Calendar Application Delivered To A Web Browser, discloses a JavaScript application that generates HTML on-the-fly from within invisible frames and renders such HTML on a user's screen in visible frames.

Alderson, United States Patent Publication Number 20040201618 for Streaming Of Real-Time Data To A Browser discloses means for sending real-time data to a browser in batches at a predetermined time by storing data in a queue either on the browser or server.

Dillon et al., U.S. Pat. No. 7,389,330 for a System And Method For Pre-Fetching Content In A Proxy Architecture discloses a system that uses an upstream proxy server in communication over a WAN with a downstream proxy server that communicates with a browser, which allows for pre-fetching of objects by the upstream proxy server over the Internet from a Web-server.

McCollum et al., U.S. Pat. No. 7,269,636 for a Method And Code Module For Adding Function To A Web Page discloses a means for adding function to a Web page on Web browser.

Collins et al., United States Patent Publication Number 20070027768 for a System And Method For Collection Of Advertising Usage Information discloses a HTML tag that is operative to request an instrumentation script from a script server, with the instrumentation script being operative to collect visitor event information on a Web-site.

Mechkov et al., United States Patent Publication Number 20070214239 for a Dynamically Updated Web Page discloses updating less than an entire Web page using an active server page authored using ASP.NET.

Abe et al., United States Patent Publication Number 20040268303 for a System, Method, And Computer Program Product For Generating A Web Application With Dynamic Content discloses a technique to use objects and Web contents dynamically generated on a server to generate a Web application model to support a change of a system.

Pereira et al., United States Patent Publication Number 20070288858 for an Engine For Rendering Widgets Using Platform-Specific Attributes discloses rendering a widget application using system calls and callbacks.

Dong et al., United States Patent Publication Number 20070130293 for a Method And System For Providing Asynchronous Portal Pages discloses rewriting HTML and rewriting script in order to redirect HTTP requests to XMLHTTP requests for a portal system.

Salerno et al., United States Patent Publication Number 20040167876 for a Method And Apparatus For Improved Web Scraping discloses a method for Web-scraping that parses the results to retrieve HTTP links.

However, current technologies that operate Server-side JavaScript fail to offer complete interactions which are the hallmark of rich web sites and applications. For example, one problem is the ability to have browser-type functionality on a server-side.

BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The Present Invention overcomes the obstacles of the prior art. The present invention allows for the emulation of different user agents on a server for Web scraping, automated testing, content repurposing, or anywhere it is useful to have browser-type functionality in a server-type environment with scalability and performance.

One aspect of the present invention is a method for emulating a multitude of different user agents on a server-side. The method includes identifying a Web-page on a Web-site. The Web-site has browser sniffing code. The method also includes determining an acceptable user agent string for receiving full content from the Web-site. The method also includes emulating the acceptable user agent by transmitting a HTTP request with the acceptable user agent string. The method also includes accessing the full content of the Web-page of the Web-site.

Another aspect of the present invention is a system for emulating a multitude of different user agents on a server-side. The system includes a Web-page and a Web-server. The Web-page has browser sniffing code. The Web-server includes means for modifying a user-agent string of code transmitted to the web-page to emulate a preferred type of Web-browser, and means for Web-scraping.

The present invention parses and executes the Web-page as a browser would parse the Web-page. The present invention is configured to execute all or part of the code on that page, load external resources or not, and call various external systems in

the course of processing the Web-page. As a result, the present invention can faithfully analyze the load-producing traffic in real time, e.g. monitoring how many links to certain resources are really being sent, whether they are clustered in certain ways (e.g. per page, per application or site, per user, per session), how much overlap they contain, and where do they appear and how their content is being used. In addition to reporting all this data and presenting the data in various ways to the system operators, the present invention effects certain optimizations. For example, the present invention aggregates multiple JavaScript and CSS files into single files, caches them, and replaces the multiple links to the original files into single links to the new files, thus reducing the number of network trips needed to complete the Web-page. The present invention delivers only the pieces of code that are used often, and proxies the rest of the code to deliver them on demand. The present invention reassembles JavaScript files for more optimal caching on the client and fewer network trips, and present invention can do so for images too using a technique known as image sprites. Further the present invention does all of this without changing the original code and files used to generate the web-pages. The on-the-fly (runtime) information and optimizations are then used as actionable feedback to change the original code and files or for building new code and files better.

To understand the differences between the server and browser sides, it's important to keep in mind the page lifecycle. The page request from the browser is received by the Web server, which fetches the appropriate HTML document (either from the file system or perhaps from another "handler" such as PHP or Ruby or Java). The Web server (Apache server) then feeds the document to the script server of the present invention, which begins to parse the HTML document and builds up the DOM tree. When the script server encounters `<script>` tags the script server not only adds them to the DOM but may also execute them if they have a `runat` attribute that indicates they should run on the server. During the parsing and execution, external content may also be fetched and loaded into the document, via `<script src="...">` elements and `Jaxer.load(...)` for JavaScript code, or via `<jaxer:include src="...">` (or `<jaxer:include path="...">`) for HTML content, or via XMLHttpRequests for any content. After the DOM is fully loaded, the `onserverload` event is fired. This is the server-side equivalent of the `onload` event on the browser. The `onserverload` event is named differently so that a developer's code can react separately to `onserverload` and `onload` events. The script server post-processes the DOM to carry out its built-in logic and prepare the DOM for sending to the browser: removing `<script>` blocks meant only for the server, replacing functions to be proxied with proxies, saving (as needed) functions that should be available on callbacks, ... etc. Finally, the DOM is serialized back to HTML, and that HTML is streamed back via the Web server to the browser.

The resulting HTML page is sent back to the browser as the response to the browser's request. The browser begins to parse the HTML, building up the DOM. When the browser encounters `<script>` tags the browser not only adds them to the DOM but also executes them. External JavaScript code or any other content may also be loaded. The `onload` event fires. Of course the page is progressively rendered throughout much of this flow, and also the user can interact with it.

Callbacks from the browser to server-side functions are handled via XMLHttpRequests. When the script server receives such a request, it creates a new, empty document (unless configured to use a different static document). The script server retrieves the saved functions that are needed to

be made available during callbacks to this page. If a function called `oncallback` is found, it is executed. This is usually used to create the environment needed during a callback, if the saved functions are not enough. The callback function itself is executed. Finally, the result of that execution is packaged and returned as the response to the XMLHttpRequest.

While a DOM is available during callback processing, it is not serialized as HTML and returned as the response, as it was during the "regular" (non-callback) page processing flow. The DOM on script server and the DOM on the browser typically are not synchronized. Both are created from the same HTML source, but they are often subject to processing by different JavaScript code, and both come to life at different points in the page lifecycle: the DOM on the script server exists temporarily when the page is processed by the script server, and is eliminated after it's been serialized into the HTML sent to the browser; the DOM in the browser is built, on the browser, from that HTML, and is the DOM that's rendered to the user and with which the end-user interacts.

While script server and the browser may well share some code (e.g. when using `runat="both"`), usually the JavaScript code designated to run on script server and interacting with the script server DOM is different than the code designated to run on the client. The latter exists e.g. as a `<script>` tag in the script server DOM but is not executed in script server.

Remember that the only things sent to the browser at the end of page processing is what's actually in the DOM, and what the script server of the present invention has added such as proxies, `clientData`, and injected scripts. For example, if a developer added an `expando` property, which is an in-memory change to the DOM that will not get serialized, it will not appear on the client side.

```
var div=document.createElement("div");
div.id="myDiv";
document.body.appendChild(div);
document.getElementById("myDiv").userId=123;
```

On the browser the `div` is present, with an `id` of "myDiv", but without a `userId` property. For this same reason, setting event handlers programatically rather than in the DOM will not translate to DOM changes and hence will not propagate to the browser. For example with a button: `<input type="button" id="myButton" value="Click me">`

A developer could add an `onclick="..."` attribute to the tag, but this does not assist with adding the event handler programatically. The script server of the present invention provides `Jaxer.setEvent(domElement, eventName, handler)` function that "does the right thing" in the script server as well as on the browser.

```
var btn=document.getElementById("myButton"); function
sayHi( ) { alert
("hi"); sayHi.runat="client"; Jaxer.setEvent(btn, "onclick",
sayHi);
```

The function used as the event handler should be made available to the browser. When `setEvent` is executed on the server, as above, it results in the following change to the `myButton` element: `<input type="button" id="myButton" value="Click me" onclick="sayHi()">` This is sent to the browser since it is a DOM change. If the function passed into `setEvent` has no name, its body (source) is used as the value of the attribute: `var btn=document.getElementById("myButton"); Jaxer.setEvent(btn, "onclick", function() {alert("hi"); });`

This results in the following: `<input type="button" id="myButton" value="Click me" onclick="(function() {alert("hi"); })()">`

Which is useful for short functions but is easier to pass in the code to execute as a string: `var`

btn=document.getElementById("myButton");Jaxer. setEvent(btn, "onclick", "alert('hi')");

Which results in:<input type="button" id="myButton" value="Click me" onclick="alert('hi')">

The environment of the present invention is preferably based upon the very same Mozilla engine which powers Firefox 3. This means that, for the most part, DOM interaction in the server using the present invention is the same as interacting with the DOM in a Web browser. It parses and executes pages progressively, building up the DOM as it goes along, and allowing JavaScript to interact with whatever DOM has already been built up at the time the JavaScript executes. Any document.write() calls will write to the DOM immediately following the current location on the page. The JavaScript that is part of a page, and loaded into the page, executes within the context of the global window object. For each request at the server, the present invention preferably provides a document object model. This DOM (which we'll refer to as DOM1) can be used to insert data and otherwise transform the page before it is first returned to the browser. You interact with and manipulate the DOM much the same as you would in the browser. Some third party Javascript toolkits, such as jQuery, can also be used to modify this DOM. The document is accessible through the document object, and the root element of the DOM is accessible through the document.documentElement object. To ensure that element properties are serialized properly when the DOM is returned to the browser, use element.setAttribute("attr", "value") rather than element.foo="value". Form element values set with formElement.value [code font] are an exception; they'll still be serialized as expected. To attach an event handler to an element, preferably use the special Jaxer method Jaxer.setEvent(). Example: Transforming the DOM.

```
<script type="text/javascript" runat="server">
  window.onload=function() {
    var textNode=document.createTextNode("wocka wocka wocka");
    var element=document.getElementById("container");
    element.appendChild(textNode);
  };
</script>
```

A developer can manipulate the DOM in the API's, for example by using the following:

```
<script runat="server">
  Document.getElementById("useBillingAddrChkbox").
    checked=Jaxer.session.get('userSessionBillingAddrValue');
</script>
```

The present invention allows Web-developers to consume and transform content from HTML pages written in other languages like PHP, PYTHON, RUBY ON RAILS, .NET or JAVA. The present invention includes a rich framework for many useful tasks on the server, including accessing local or remote Web resources and services without cross-domain security restrictions that a browser might impose, or rewriting HTML pages generated by other platforms such as set forth below.

```
<script runat="server">
var data=Jaxer.Serialization.from JSONString(
  Jaxer.Web.get("pricingService.php?productId=7234"));
</script>
```

Having briefly described the present invention, the above and further objects, features and advantages thereof will be recognized by those skilled in the pertinent art from the fol-

lowing detailed description of the invention when taken in conjunction with the accompanying drawings.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a block diagram of a web system of the prior art.

FIG. 2 is a block diagram of a web system of the prior art.

FIG. 3 is a block diagram of the system of the present invention during a callback.

FIG. 4 is a block diagram of the system of the present invention during a normal process.

FIG. 4A is a block diagram of the system of the present invention during a normal process.

FIG. 5 is a block diagram of a callback process.

FIG. 6 is a Web-page generated by the code.

FIG. 7 is a block diagram of the server of the system of the present invention.

FIG. 7A is a block diagram of the user-computer of the system of the present invention.

FIG. 8 is a flow chart of a general method of the present invention.

FIG. 9 is a block diagram of a prior art application stack illustrating the interactions between the client side and the server-side.

FIG. 10 is a block diagram of an application stack of the present invention illustrating the interactions between the client side and the server-side.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

As shown in FIG. 3 a system 20 of the invention generally includes a server-side 25, a client side 30 and a network or preferably the Internet 35. The server-side 25 includes a web-server 40, a handler 45 and a JavaScript server 50 preferably having a server-core 55 and a server-framework 60. The client-side 30 includes a Web-browser 65 has a client-framework 70, a client-side JavaScript code 75 and a rendering engine 80. The server-framework 60 accesses filesystems 85 and databases 90, as well as the Internet 35. A more detailed description of the abilities of the running JavaScript on the server-side and client-side is disclosed in Colton et al., U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/270,817, filed Nov. 13, 2008 for A Web Server Based On The Same Paradigms As Web-Clients, which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety. An additional detail of facilitated server-side to client-side communications is disclosed in Colton et al., U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/276,327, filed Nov. 22, 2008 for a System And Method For Facilitated Client-Side To Server-Side Communications, which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety. Details of exposing the dynamic Web on the server-side is disclosed in Colton et al., U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/326,110, filed Dec. 2, 2008 for a System And Method For Exposing The Dynamic Web Server-Side, which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety. Details of compressing JavaScript in an HTML document on the server-side is disclosed in Colton et al., U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/326,861, filed Dec. 2, 2008 for a System And Method For JavaScript Compression, which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety.

In FIG. 3, the system 20 is shown during a callback operation. The callback begins at the client-side JavaScript code 75 with a callback request sent to the client-framework 70. A HTTP GET/request is transmitted over the Internet 35 to the server-side 25, and received at the Web-server 40. The HTTP GET/request is sent to the server-core 55 which sends the HTTP GET/request as a callback to the server-framework 60.

11

The server-framework 60 receives the callback, deserializes, performs the get functions, invokes, serializes and sends the response to the callback to the server-core 55. The server-core 55 sends the response to the Web-server 40 which sends the response over the Internet 35 to client-framework 70 on the Web-browser 65. Additional details concerning JavaScript callbacks is set forth in Colton et al., U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/326,891, filed Dec. 3, 2008 for a System And Method For Binding A Document Object Model Through JavaScript Callbacks, which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety.

In FIG. 4, the system 20 is shown during a normal process. The process begins with a HTTP GET/request for a Web-page sent over the Internet 35 from the Web-browser 65 on the client-side 30 to the server-side 25. The HTTP Request is sent to the handler server 45. The HTML Web-page is then sent to the script server architecture 50. The server-core 55 of the script server architecture 50 parses the HTML Web-page to create a HTML DOM of the HTML Web-page. The server-core 55 also parses and interprets the JavaScript of the HTML Web-page. The server-framework 60 accesses databases 90 and filesystems 85 to respond to the Requests for the HTML Web-page. The server-framework 60 also injects proxies to modify the HTML Web-page. The server-core 55 serializes the DOM back to the HTML Web-page and the web-server 40 transmits the HTML Web-page to the client-side 30 where the Web-browser 65 renders the HTML Web-page for display for a user. As shown in FIG. 4A, a Web server (e.g., apache server) 41 receives a request from the client-side. The request 67 is sent to the handler server (PHP, Ruby or Java language) 45. The handler server 45 feeds the HTML document to script server-core 55 which begins to parse the HTML document thereby building the DOM tree for the HTML document on the server-side. Events and callbacks are sent to the script server-framework 60. The script server adds <script> tags to the DOM and executes them if the <script> has a runat attribute that indicates the <script> should be run on the server. During the parsing and execution, external content from filesystems 85, databases 90, and the like are fetched and loaded into the HTML document. After the DOM is loaded, the onserverload event is fired from the script server framework 60. The script server architecture post-processes the DOM to perform its built in logic and prepare the DOM for transmission to the client side. This post-process includes removing <script> block meant only for the server, replacing function to be proxied with proxies, saving functions that should be available as callbacks, and the like. The DOM is serialized back to HTML, and the HTML is streamed back via the web server 41 to the browser. A more detailed explanation of event-driven JavaScript architecture is set forth in Colton et al., U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/273,539, filed on Nov. 18, 2008, for a Flexible, Event-Driven JavaScript Server Architecture, which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety. A more detailed explanation of on-the-fly processing is set forth in Colton et al., U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/276,337, filed on Nov. 22, 2008, for a System And Method For On-The-Fly, Post-Processing Document Object Model Manipulation, which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety.

FIGS. 9 and 10 illustrate the difference in the application stacks between the prior art and the present invention. In both FIGS. 9 and 10, a client-side is designated 30 includes the HTML/DOM, CSS and JavaScript. In both FIGS. 9 and 10, arrow 91 is a request, arrow 92 is a response and arrow (both directions) 93 is a callback. The server-side 25 is the difference. The server-side 25 of the prior art is PHP, Java, RoR and C#. The server-side of the present invention is HTML/DOM,

12

CSS and JavaScript. In the prior art, FIG. 9, Callbacks 93 require that the client-side 30 wrap, send, receive and unwrap the callback while the server-side 25 is required to receive, unwrap, run, wrap and send the callback. In the present invention, callbacks 93 are handled via XMLHttpRequests. When the server-side receives the request, the script-server architecture preferably creates a new, empty HTML document. The script-server architecture retrieves to this HTML document the saved functions needed to be made available during the callback. If a function designated oncallback is located, it is executed in order to create an environment needed during a callback, especially if the saved functions are not sufficient. Then, the callback function is executed and the results of the execution are packaged and returned as the response to the XMLHttpRequest.

As shown in FIG. 5, the present invention allows the server 50 to execute the JavaScript functions that are set to runat="server" or runat="both". These functions might call databases, file systems, communicate across network sockets, or get session data. And since the server-side engine has a HTML DOM just like the browser, the HTML page can be manipulated through standard DOM APIs and your favorite Ajax libraries. The present invention also has session objects that can be used to persist data for users during a session or transaction. Any functions set to runat="server" are stripped from what gets sent to the browser 65. Specifically at 1, the page executes on the server 50 and a resulting HTML page is sent to the browser 65. A more detailed description of the runat function is set forth in Colton et al., U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/270,868, filed on Nov. 14, 2008, for a System And Method For Tagging Code To Determine Where The Code Runs, which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety. A more detailed description of validating the code is set forth in Colton et al., U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/325,239, filed on Nov. 30, 2008, for a Client-Side And Server-Side Unified Validation, which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety.

After server 50 sends the resulting HTML page to the browser 65, at 2 the browser 65 interprets the HTML page and executes the JavaScript within the HTML page. If JavaScript functions tagged to runat="server-proxy" are included, then the present invention automatically strips out the bodies of those functions and replaces the bodies with a new functions by the same name that know how to invoke the original function on the server 50 using Ajax calls and return the result either synchronously or asynchronously. Ajax communications do not need to be written using the present invention. Any functions not tagged with a runat attribute or set to runat="client" or runat="both" are processed by the browser 65.

Any functions set to runat="server-proxy" can now be called from the browser 65. The function is called as if it were running on the browser 65, and the present invention, automatically via XHR communications with the server 50, marshals the parameters to the server 50 where the function executes (calling databases, getting info from the session data, etc. . . .) and returns the result to the browser 65. The "server-proxy" functions can be invoked either synchronously or asynchronously. At 3, the browser 65 calls the server 50 asynchronously for new information.

The server computer program of the present invention is pre-configured for preferable use as a plug-in to the APACHE 2.x web server. To provide standards-compliant JavaScript and DOM capabilities server-side, the server computer program is built on the MOZILLA engine, which is the same engine used in the popular FIREFOX browser. The server computer program of the present invention is layered into

13

APACHE as an input and output filter for use to modify dynamic pages created by other languages, such as PHP or Ruby.

The server computer program of the present invention is preferably a combination of C/C++ "Core" code and a server-side JavaScript "Framework." The server-core 55 provides the JavaScript parser and runtime, HTML parser and DOM engine, and an event architecture that calls the server-framework 60 as the document is being processed on the server-side 25. The server-framework 60 provides the logic, for example deciding which code to run on the server-side 25 and which on the client-side 30, creating proxies on the client-side 30 for callable server-side functions, serializing and deserializing data, and other related activities. A more detailed description of generating proxies is set forth in Colton et al., U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/275,182, filed on Nov. 20, 2008, for a System And Method For Auto-Generating JavaScript Proxies And Meta-Proxies, which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety. Further discussions on proxy generation are set forth in Colton et al., U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/275,213, filed on Nov. 20, 2008, for a Single Proxy Generation For Multiple Web Pages, which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety. A more detailed description of accessing the DOM on the server-side is set forth in Colton et al., U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/277,336, filed on Nov. 22, 2008, for a System And Method For Accessibility Of Document Object Model And JavaScript By Other Platforms, which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety. A more detailed description of caching is set forth in Colton et al., U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/325,268, filed on Dec. 1, 2008, for a System And Method For Caching HTML Fragments, which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety. A more detailed description of using aspect oriented programming with the present invention is set forth in Colton et al., U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/326,103, filed on Dec. 2, 2008, for Aspect Oriented Programming, which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety.

On the server side 25, a developer's JavaScript environment is enhanced by the server-framework 60, which provides access to the database (e.g., MySQL), file system, network, the HTTP Request and Response data, and the external server-side platforms such as Java, PHP, and Ruby. The script server architecture 50 allows for processing of web applications on-the-fly. An additional explanation of on-the-fly post processing is disclosed in Colton et al., U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/325,240, filed on Nov. 30, 2008, for On-The-Fly, Post-Processing Of HTML Streams, which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety. Further details are provided in Colton et al., U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/325,249, filed on Nov. 30, 2008, for On-The-Fly, Rewriting Of Uniform Resource Locators In A Web-Page, which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety. Yet further details are provided in Colton et al., U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/326,035, filed on Dec. 1, 2008, for On-The-Fly Optimization Of Web-Applications, which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety. Yet further details are provided in Colton et al., U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/326,087, filed on Dec. 1, 2008, for On-The-Fly Instrumentation Of Web-Applications, Web-Pages or Web-Sites, which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety. Yet further details are provided in Colton et al., U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/326,910, filed on Dec. 3, 2008, for a System And Method For On-The-Fly Rewriting Of JavaScript, which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety. Yet further details are provided in Colton et al., U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/327,330, filed on Dec. 3, 2008, for a System And

14

Method For On-The-Fly, Post-Processing Security Verifications, which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety.

The client-side preferably has a user interface. The user interface (also referred to as UI) is typically a computer which includes a processing means for interacting with various input and output devices ("I/O devices"), and various networks. The I/O Devices can be drives, a keyboard, a display, a scanner, a mouse and the like. The processing means typically includes a CPU such as an INTEL PENTIUM™ processor or the like. The processing means also preferably includes a memory (random access memory and read only memory) and interfaces for communicating with networks and the I/O Devices. The computers on the server-side are similar to the client-side, however more powerful.

An example of code written by a developer and prior to processing by the present invention is set forth below.

```

<html>
<head>
<title>Tasks</title>
<style>
  body { font: 9pt Arial; float: left; }
  .tasks { background-color: #f0f0ff; padding: 8px; }
  .new-task { Padding-bottom: 8px; }
  .task { Padding: 4px; }
</style>
<script type="text/javascript" runat="server">
  Var sql = "CREATE TABLE IF NOT EXISTS tasks ( " +
    " id int (11) NOT NULL," +
    "description varchar (255)," +
    "created datetime NOT NULL" +
    ") ENGINE=InnoDB DEFAULT CHARSET=utf8;
  Aptana.DB.execute(sql);
  Window.onserverload = function()
  {
    var resultSet = Aptana.DB.execute("SELECT * FROM
tasks ORDER BY created");
    for (var i=0; kresultSet.rowslength; i++)
    {
      var task = resultSet.rows[i];
      addTask(task.description, task.id);
    }
  }
  function saveTask(id, description)
  {
    var resultSet = Aptana.DB.execute("SELECT * FROM tasks
WHERE id = ?", [id]);
    if (resultSet.rows.length > 0) // task already exists
    {
      Aptana.DB.execute("UPDATE tasks SET description = ?
WHERE id = ?",
      [description, id]);
    }
    else // insert new task
    {
      Aptana.DB.execute("INSERT INTO tasks (id, description,
created) " +
      "VALUES (?, ?, NOW( ))"
      [id, description]);
    }
  }
  saveTask.proxy = true;
  function $(id) { return document.getElementById(id); }
  $.runat = "both";
  function addTask(description, id)
  {
    var newId = id || Math.ceil(1000000000 * Math.random( ));
    var div = document.createElement("div");
    div.id = "task_" + newId;
    div.className = "task";
    var checkbox = document.createElement("input");
    checkbox.setAttribute("type", "checkbox");
    checkbox.setAttribute("title", "done");
  }

```

15

-continued

```

checkbox.setAttribute("id", "checkbox_" + newId);
Aptana.setEvent(checkbox, "onclick", "completeTask(" + newId +
    ")");
div.appendChild(checkbox);
var input = document.createElement("input");
input.setAttribute("type", "text");
input.setAttribute("size", "60");
input.setAttribute("title", "description");
input.setAttribute("id", "input_" + newId);
input.setAttribute("value", description);
Aptana.setEvent(input, "onchange", "saveTask(" + newId + ",
this.value)");
div.appendChild(input);
$("tasks").insertBefore(div, $("tasks").firstChild);
if (!Aptana.isOnServer)
{
    saveTask(newId, description);
}
}
addTask.runat = "both";
function completeTask(taskId)
{
    var div = $("task_" + taskId);
    div.parentNode.removeChild(div);
    deleteSavedTask(taskId);
}
completeTask.runat = "client";
function deleteSavedTask(id)
{
    Aptana.DB.execute("DELETE FROM tasks WHERE id = ?", [id]);
}
deleteSavedTask.proxy = true;
</script>
</head>
<body>
<h2>Tasks To Do</h2>
<div><i>Any changes should be automatically saved to your
database! </i><br><br></div>
<div class="new-task">
    New:
    <input type="text" id="txtnew" size="60">
    <input type="button" value="add"
onclick="addTask($('txt_new').value)">
</div>
<div id="tasks" class="tasks">
</div>
</body>
</html>

```

Processing of the code by the present invention results in the code being formatted as set forth below:

```

<html>
<head>
<script src="/aptana/framework.js?version=0.1.1.759"
type="text/javascript"></script>
<script type="text/javascript">Aptana.clientData =
Aptana.Serialization.fromJSONString ({' }');</script>
<script type="text/javascript">Aptana.Callback.id = -1407728339;
</script>
<title>Tasks</title>
<style>
body {
    font: 9pt Arial;
    float: left;
}
.tasks {
    background-color: #f0f0ff;
    padding: 8px;
}
.new-task {
    padding-bottom: 8px;
}
.task {
    padding: 4px;
}
</style>

```

16

-continued

```

<script type="text/javascript">
function $(id)
{
    return document.getElementById(id);
}
function addTask(description, id)
{
    var newId = id || Math.ceil(1000000000 * Math.random( ));
    var div = document.createElement("div");
    div.id = "task_" + newId;
    div.className = "task";
    var checkbox = document.createElement("input");
    checkbox.setAttribute("type", "checkbox");
    checkbox.setAttribute("title", "done");
    checkbox.setAttribute("id", "checkbox_" + newId);
    Aptana.setEvent(checkbox, "onclick", "completeTask(" + newId +
    ")");
    div.appendChild(checkbox);
    var input = document.createElement("input");
    input.setAttribute("type", "text");
    input.setAttribute("size", "60");
    input.setAttribute("title", "description");
    input.setAttribute("id", "input_" + newId);
    input.setAttribute("value", description);
    Aptana.setEvent(input, "onchange", "saveTask(" + newId + ",
this.value)");
    div.appendChild(input);
    $("tasks").insertBefore(div, $("tasks").firstChild);
    if (!Aptana.isOnServer)
    {
        saveTask(newId, description);
    }
}
function completeTask(taskId)
{
    var div = $("task_" + taskId);
    div.parentNode.removeChild(div);
    deleteSavedTask(taskId);
}
function saveTask( )
{
    return Aptana.Callback.invokeFunction.call(null, "saveTask",
arguments);
}
function saveTaskAsync(callback)
{
    return Aptana.Callback.invokeFunctionAsync.call(null,
callback, "saveTask", arguments);
}
function deleteSavedTask( )
{
    return Aptana.Callback.invokeFunction.call(null, "delete-
SavedTask", arguments);
}
function deleteSavedTaskAsync(callback)
{
    return Aptana.Callback.invokeFunctionAsync.call(null,
callback, "deleteSavedTask", arguments);
}
</script>
</head>
<body>
<h2>Tasks To Do</h2>
<div>
<i>Any changes should be automatically saved to your
database!</i>
<br>
<br>
</div>
<div class="new-task">
    New:<input id="txt_new" size="60" type="text"><input
value="add" onclick="addTask($('txt_new').value)" type="button">
</div>
<div id="tasks" class="tasks">
</div>
</body>
</html>

```

FIG. 6 is a screen display 99 of the code set forth above.

As shown in FIG. 7, a server-computer 2000 contains server architecture 50. The server-architecture 50 includes the server-core 55 and the server-framework 60. The server-core 55 includes a JavaScript parser 95. The server-computer 2000 is preferably a conventional server-computer available from IBM, HP, APPLE, DELL, and SUN.

As shown in FIG. 7A, a user-computer 2002 contains a Web-browser 65. The Web-browser 65 preferably includes the client framework 70, client-side JavaScript code 75 and the rendering engine 80. The user-computer 2002 is preferably a conventional user-computer such as a PC available from HP, DELL, and GATEWAY, or a MAC available from APPLE. The Web-browser 65 is preferably MICROSOFT INTERNET EXPLORER, NETSCAPE, APPLE SAFARI, MOZILLA FIREFOX, or OPERA.

A general method 100 of the present invention is shown in FIG. 8. At block 102, a Web-page having a browser sniffing code is identified. Such browser sniffing codes are commercially available as BROWSERHAWK or BROWSEROBJECT. The browser sniffing code analyzes HTTP requests from browsers sent to a Web-server for a particular Web-site. The browser sniffing code determines the “identity” of the Web-browser in order to present content appropriate for that browser. The browser may be a MICROSOFT INTERNET EXPLORER browser, a MOZILLA FIREFOX browser, an OPERA browser, an APPLE SAFARI browser or the like. A browser sniffing code is necessary due to incompatibilities between browsers in the interpretation of HTML, CSS and the DOM. As a result, different browsers will display the same page differently to different browsers, and sometimes the full content of the Web-page is not available if the user agent is unacceptable. At block 104, an acceptable user agent string for receiving full content from the Web-site is determined on a server-side. The script server architecture of the present invention is able to determine on the server-side what browser user agent provides full access to the content of the web-page. At block 106, an acceptable user agent is emulated by transmitting a HTTP request with the acceptable user agent string. For example, if a user agent pertaining to a MOZILLA FIREFOX browser is an acceptable user agent to access the full content of the Web-page, then the script server architecture of the present invention emulates a user agent pertaining to a MOZILLA FIREFOX browser. If a user agent pertaining to an APPLE SAFARI browser is an acceptable user agent to access the full content of the Web-page, then the script server architecture of the present invention emulates a user agent pertaining to a APPLE SAFARI browser. At block 108, the full content of the Web-page of the Web-site is accessed on the server-side. This full content of the Web-page may be manipulated if necessary, serialized and sent to a client-side.

A simple example, illustrating how the present invention can fetch a Web-page to be analyzed from a third-party site; parse and execute the DOM and JavaScript on that Web-page, using its DOM and JavaScript engines; then convert the result into an accessible format (in this case HTML); and submitting it to another platform via a Web Services HTTP request, receiving a response in return.

The HTML document to be fetched from the third-party site (e.g., www.third-party.com) It should be noted that it does not explicitly contain the word “phishing.”

```
<html>
  <head>
    <title>A Rogue Page</title>
  </head>
  <body>
    <script>
      document.write(“I am a phi”+“shing site”);
```

```
</script>
</body>
</html>
```

The following is the code which the present invention uses to fetch the Web-page, execute the Web-page, and create the accessible format.

```
<script runat=“server”>
  var sandbox=new Jaxer.Sandbox(“http://www.third-party.com/”);
  var accessibleContent=Jaxer.Util.DOM.toHTML(sandbox.document);
</script>
```

The accessible format which the present invention sends to the other platform—note that it now contains the word “phishing” because the JavaScript was executed, and this is what the user going to that site would have seen:

```
<html>
  <head>
    <title>A Rogue Page</title>
  </head>
  <body>
    <script id=“badCode”>
      document.write(“I am just a phi” + “shing site”);
    </script>I am just a phishing site
  </body>
</html>
```

The code of the present invention that sends it to the platform and retrieves the result:

```
var result=Jaxer.Web.post(“verificationService.aspx”, {content:
accessibleContent});
```

The verification service, in this case an ASP.NET page (as you can see from its url), can look for suspicious words and return a go/no go decision.

When the present invention first processes a page, before sending it to the client, it executes any JavaScript code designated to run at the server: specifically, any script blocks with a runat attribute of “server”, “both”, or one of their variants. Some of the functions defined during the processing can be designated to be available for callbacks. These functions are only available for callbacks from this page. A function is designated as callable from the browser if it is in a script block with a runat=“server-proxy” attribute, or if it has a “proxy” property with a value of true, or if it’s included in the Jaxer.proxies array in the page. If any of these holds, the function is cached (saved) on the server at the end of page processing, and in its place a proxy function with the same name is injected into the browser-bound page. When the proxy function is called, on the browser, it makes an XMLHttpRequest back to the server; and the server retrieves the original function and executes it; then the result is returned to the browser, where it’s handled as if the proxy function returned it.

In the present invention, preferably there are two proxy functions injected into the browser-bound page for every callable server-side function: one with the same name as the server-side function, and one with “Async” appended to its name. The second one is used to make asynchronous calls, ones that don’t freeze the browser while the request is made to the server, processed, and returned. The Async version takes an additional first argument: a function to be called when the response is received from the server. That function takes as its arguments the returned result of the server-side function. An example is as follows:

```
<script runat=“server-proxy”>
function getLastName(first Name){
  var lstName=Jaxer.DB.execute(SELECT    lastName
FROM names
```

19

```

WHERE firstName=?", firstName).singleResult;
    return lastName;
}
</script>
<script>
    function showFullNameNow() {
        var firstName=document.getElementById("first").value;
        var lastName=getLastName(firstName);
        show(firstName, lastName);
    }
    function showFullNameSoon() {
        var firstName=document.getElementById("first").value;
        getLastNameAsync(
        function(lastName) {show(firstName, lastName);},// this is
        called when
        getLastName() returns
            firstName);
        function show(firstName,lastName) {
            alert(firstName+" "+lastName);
        }
    }
</script>
First name: <input type="text" id="first">
<input type="button" value="Show Now"
onclick="showFullNameNow()">
<input type="button" value="Show Soon"
onclick="showFullNameSoon()">
    Note that calling the getLastName() function on the server
    is as easy as calling any function on the browser—because
    there actually is a getLastName() function on the browser,
    and it's a proxy for the true getLastName() function on the
    server. Using getLastNameAsync() requires a bit more work
    restructuring code to allow for the asynchronous flow, but it
    often means a better user experience.
    In many cases, other functions are needed on the server
    during a callback. For example, getLastName() requires cer-
    tain access privileges. It needs to call a getCurrentUser()
    function and then call isAuthenticated() on it. But getCurrent-
    User() and isAuthenticated() should not be callable from the
    browser directly, e.g. for security reasons. To achieve this, the
    present invention automatically caches any other functions
    defined at the end of page processing (unless they're explic-
    itly designated with runat="server-nocache" or runat="both-
    nocache"), and makes them available to other functions—but
    not to the browser—during a callback (the asynchronous
    version is omitted simplicity) as shown below.
<script runat="server">
    function getCurrentUser() {//this will be available to other
    functions
    during a callback
        return Jaxer.session.get("user");
    }
    function isAuthenticated(user) {// this will be available to
    other functions
    during a callback
        return user.authorizationLevel >4;// substitute some logic
    here
    }
    function getLastName(firstName) {
        var user=getCurrentUser();
        if(!isAuthenticated(user))throw"You are not authorized";
        var lastName=Jaxer.DB.execute("SELECT lastName
        FROM names
        WHERE firstName=?",firstName).singleResult;
        return lastName;
    }
    getLastName.proxy=true;
</script>
<script>
    Function showFullName() {
        var firstName=document.getElementById("first").value;

```

20

```

        var lastName=getLastName(firstName);
        alert(firstName+" "+lastName);
    }
</script>
5 First name:<input type="text" id="first">
<input type="button" onclick="showFullName()">
    All three server-side functions getCurrentUser(), isAutho-
    rized(), and getLastName() are saved after the page is pro-
    cessed and are available during a callback; but only one,
    10 getLastName(), is allowed to be called directly from the
    browser, and it can then call the others as needed. It's a good
    practice to limit the proxied functions to only the ones actu-
    ally needed by the browser to support the user interaction
    flow.
    15 To understand callbacks in even more detail, consider the
    page lifecycle. The script server architecture of the present
    invention loads the page and processes it, creating the DOM
    from the HTML and running the server-side JavaScript,
    which may create some functions and may manipulate the
    20 DOM. At the end of the page the HTML DOM is turned back
    into HTML, and the HTML is sent to the browser. The present
    invention then caches whatever functions may be required
    during a callback, destroys the server-side global "window"
    JavaScript context and all the JavaScript functions and data in
    25 it as well as the DOM, and prepares for its next request. The
    cached functions are saved to the database, but for efficiency
    they're also cached as bytecode in memory. Then on every
    callback, an empty "window" object with an empty DOM is
    made available, and the cached functions for that page are
    30 recreated in that window (using the bytecode in memory, after
    fetching from the database if needed). Finally, the specific
    function specified in the callback is executed.
    As a result of this flow, the environment available during a
    callback isn't the same as during the initial page. Basically,
    just the cached functions are available. In most cases, that
    35 works well, and is highly efficient: there's no need to recreate
    the entire original page to call a function, no complications
    trying to somehow sync the DOM during the callback to the
    possibly-changed DOM now on the browser, and no danger
    that user-specific data on that page may be available to other
    40 users, etc. However, if more control is needed, e.g. to make a
    server-side library available, if that server-side library con-
    sisted purely of functions, it was probably automatically
    cached when loaded during the initial page processing, so
    everything will operate. But if it requires some non-function
    45 objects to be present, the functions will need to be recreated
    during the callback. This can be done if the library contained
    an external JavaScript file to load it via <script
    src="myLibrary.js" runat="server" autorun="true"></
    script>. The autorun attribute tells the present invention to
    automatically run this library not only on every request for
    this page but also on every callback on this page; for effi-
    50 ciency, the library is actually compiled into bytecode and
    stored in memory. Alternatively, a special function called
    oncallback can be defined in the Web-page. This special func-
    tion will be executed on every callback for this Web-page,
    55 before the function calling back is executed. The
    oncallback() can for example check whether the environment
    is set up, and if not it can establish the environment.
    An example of code to redirect to another URL is set forth
    below:
    60
    <html>
    <head>
        <script type="text/javascript" runat="server">
            window.onload = function() {
                Jaxer.response.redirect("/login/");
            };
    65

```

21

-continued

```

</script>
</head>
</html>

```

An example of on-the-fly, post-processing of document object model manipulation is shown below. The HTML document is as shown below.

```

<html>
  <head>
    <title>Widgets Intl</title>
  </head>
  <body>
    <h1>Widgets International</h1>
    <div id="product1">Large Widget</div>
  </body>
</html>

```

The DOM tree and its elements for the HTML document, with the omission of the whitespace nodes, is shown below.

```

• Element: HTML
  ○ Element: HEAD
    ■ Element: TITLE
      ■ Text: "Widgets Intl"
  ○ Element: BODY
    ■ Element: H1
      ■ Text: "Widgets International"
    ■ Element: DIV;
      ■ Attribute: "id" with value "product1"
      ■ Text: "Large Widget"

```

The code of the present invention for manipulating the elements is as set forth below.

```

var elt=document.getElementById("product1");
elt.setAttribute("style", "font-weight: bold");
elt.innerHTML+="— SALE!";

```

The DOM tree and its elements of the HTML document after the manipulation.

```

• Element: HTML
  ○ Element: HEAD
    ■ Element: TITLE
      ■ Text: "Widgets Intl"
  ○ Element: BODY
    ■ Element: H1
      ■ Text: "Widgets International"
    ■ Element: DIV;
      ■ Attribute: "id" with value "product1"
      ■ Attribute: "style" with value "font-
weight: bold"

```

From the foregoing it is believed that those skilled in the pertinent art will recognize the meritorious advancement of this invention and will readily understand that while the present invention has been described in association with a preferred embodiment thereof, and other embodiments illustrated in the accompanying drawings, numerous changes modification and substitutions of equivalents may be made therein without departing from the spirit and scope of this invention which is intended to be unlimited by the foregoing except as may appear in the following appended claim. Therefore, the embodiments of the invention in which an exclusive property or privilege is claimed are defined in the following appended claims.

What is claimed is:

1. A system for emulating a multitude of different user agents on a server-side, the system comprising:
 - a processor-based emulator executed on a computer system and configured to:

22

identify a Web-page on a Web-site, the Web-site having browser sniffing code;

determine an acceptable user agent string for a first browser for receiving full content from the Web-site, the user agent string one of a plurality of user agent strings wherein a user agent string for a second browser does not receive full content for the Web-page;

emulate the acceptable user agent by transmitting a HTTP request with the acceptable user agent string;

retrieve a HTML document for the Web-page at a script server on the server-side;

parse the HTML document for the Web-page at the script server on the server-side;

build a Document Object Model of the Web-page at the script server on the server-side;

identify a plurality of elements of the Document Object Model of the Webpage for manipulation at the script server on the server-side;

manipulate the plurality of elements of the Document Object Model of the webpage to create a manipulated Document Object Model of the Web-page at the script server on the server-side, wherein the manipulated Document Object Model of the Web-page presents a full content of Web page to the second browser;

serialize the manipulated Document Object Model of the Web-page at the script server on the server-side into an HTML document for a Web-page with a manipulated Document Object Model;

transmit the HTML document for the Web-page with the manipulated Document Object Model to a Web-server; and

transmit the HTML document for the Web-page with the manipulated Document Object Model to a client side using the second browser.

2. A computer program product, comprising a non-transitory computer-readable medium having a computer-readable program code embodied therein, the computer-readable program code adapted to be executed by one or more processors to implement a method comprising:

identifying a Web-page on a Web-site, the Web-site having browser sniffing code;

determining an acceptable user agent string for a first browser for receiving full content from the Web-site, the user agent string one of a plurality of user agent strings wherein a user agent string for a second browser does not receive full content for the Web-page;

emulating the acceptable user agent by transmitting a HTTP request with the acceptable user agent string;

retrieving a HTML document for the Web-page at a script server on the server-side;

parsing the HTML document for the Web-page at the script server on the server-side;

building a Document Object Model of the Web-page at the script server on the server-side;

identifying a plurality of elements of the Document Object Model of the Webpage for manipulation at the script server on the server-side;

manipulating the plurality of elements of the Document Object Model of the webpage to create a manipulated Document Object Model of the Web-page at the script server on the server-side, wherein the manipulated Document Object Model of the Web-page presents a full content of Web page to the second browser;

serializing the manipulated Document Object Model of the Web-page at the script server on the server-side into an HTML document for a Web-page with a manipulated Document Object Model;

transmitting the HTML document for the Web-page with the manipulated Document Object Model to a Web-server; and

23

transmitting the HTML document for the Web-page with
the manipulated Document Object Model to a client side
using the second browser.

* * * * *

24